



Los Angeles County Regional Park And Open Space District

Administrative Offices. 510 S. Vermont Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90020-1975. (213) 738-2981. http://openspacedistrict.lacounty.info

April 28, 2015

The Honorable Board of Directors County of Los Angeles 383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration 500 West Temple Street Los Angeles, California 90012

Dear Directors:

ADOPTED

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

ACTING EXECUTIVE OFFICER

1-P

April 28, 2015

APPROVE A REVISED PLAN OF EXPENDITURE AND AN ALTERNATIVE PLAN FOR EXPENDITURE

AND APPROVE TRANSFER OF PER PARCEL DISCRETIONARY FUNDS OF THE SAFE NEIGHBORHOOD PARKS PROPOSITIONS OF 1992 AND 1996 FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION TO FUND ITS VARIOUS PROJECTS (SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS 1,2,4,5) (3 VOTES)

SUBJECT

Approval of the recommended actions will reallocate an amount not to exceed \$1,465,395 in residual Specified Funds and will transfer an amount not to exceed \$389,810 in residual Per Parcel Discretionary Funds under the Safe Neighborhood Parks Proposition of 1992 and 1996 previously allocated to the Department of Parks and Recreation to fund its various projects.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE BOARD:

- 1. Find the proposed projects listed below categorically exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act for the reasons stated herein and the reasons reflected in the record of the projects.
- 2. Approve a Revised Plan of Expenditure for the Department of Parks and Recreation to fund its various projects by reallocating an amount not to exceed \$529,800 in residual Specified Project Funds, originally allocated to the Department of Parks and Recreation in different Sections pursuant to the Los Angeles County Safe Neighborhood Parks Proposition of 1992.
- 3. Approve an Alternative Plan of Expenditure for the Department of Parks and Recreation to fund its various projects by reallocating an amount not to exceed \$935,595 in residual Specified Project Funds, originally allocated to the Department of Parks and Recreation in different Sections pursuant

to the Los Angeles County Safe Neighborhood Parks Proposition of 1996.

- 4. Approve the transfer of residual Per Parcel Discretionary Funds in an amount not to exceed \$389,810, originally allocated to the Department of Parks and Recreation under different Sections pursuant to the Los Angeles County Safe Neighborhood Parks Proposition of 1992 and 1996, to the Department of Parks and Recreation to fund its various Projects.
- 5. Authorize the Director of the Department of Parks and Recreation, in his capacity as Director of the Los Angeles County Regional Park and Open Space District, to award grants to the recipients identified herein when applicable conditions have been met; and to administer the grants as of the date of award and pursuant to guidelines in the Procedural Guide for Specified and Per Parcel Funds Projects.

PURPOSE/JUSTIFICATION OF RECOMMENDED ACTION

Approval of the recommended actions will allow the Department of Parks and Recreation (DPR) to utilize residual Specified Funds and Per Parcel Discretionary Funds, totaling an amount not to exceed \$1,855,205 to fund the following grant projects:

First District

- Whittier Narrows Recreation Area Irrigation System Renovation Project \$288,900; and
- Obregon Park Permeable Paving Phase of the Model Green Project \$289,037.

Second District

George Washington Carver Park Pool Refurbishment Project - \$123,568.

Fourth District

- South Coast Botanic Gardens Administration Building Rehabilitation Project \$440,600;
- Cerritos Community Regional Park Pool Refurbishment Project \$190,000; and
- La Mirada Park Lake Improvements Project- \$185,770.

Fifth District

- William Hart Senior Center Rehabilitation Project \$100,000;
- Castaic Lake Restrooms & Service Building Refurbishment Project \$121,600; and
- Apollo Park Refurbishment Project \$115,730.

The funding sources and Sections of the Los Angeles County Safe Neighborhood Parks Proposition of 1992 and 1996 for each project's budget are included in Attachment A.

It is also recommended that the Director of the Department of Parks and Recreation (Director), in his capacity as Director of the Los Angeles County Regional Park and Open Space District (RPOSD), be authorized to award grants to DPR for various projects, and when applicable conditions have been met, such as grantee qualifications, consistency between the projects and requirements of the 1992 and 1996 Proposition, and grantee agreement with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requirements for the projects, if applicable, and to administer the grants pursuant to the Procedural

Guide previously approved by the Board. Please refer to Attachment A for the list of Funding Sections and their allocation assignments to the new projects.

<u>Implementation of Strategic Plan Goals</u>

The recommended actions further the Board approved County Strategic Plan Goals of Operational Effectiveness/Fiscal Sustainability (Goal 1) by providing recreational activities in the First, Second, Fourth, and Fifth Supervisorial Districts.

FISCAL IMPACT/FINANCING

Sufficient appropriation for the grants, not to exceed \$1,855,205, is budgeted in RPOSD's Assessment Project Fund, HB4.

FACTS AND PROVISIONS/LEGAL REQUIREMENTS

The 1992 and 1996 Propositions set forth deadlines for agencies to encumber funds allocated to them in the Propositions and further set forth procedures for agencies to request reallocation of those funds, as follows:

Specified Funds - 1992 Proposition

The 1992 Proposition provided funding for projects that were specified in the measure and identified a procedure for revision of those projects prior to July 1, 1998. That procedure remains the method by which specified project funds may be approved by the Board for other uses. An agency may submit a revised plan of expenditure to use the funds for a project that is consistent with the purposes of the 1992 Proposition after the grantee's governing body considers and approves the revision of its project at a public meeting.

Specified Funds - 1996 Proposition

Under the provisions of Section (5), Subsection (e), of the 1996 Proposition an alternative plan for expenditure must be submitted and approved to commit the funds allocated to specified projects between June 30, 2003, and June 30, 2004. As of July 1, 2004, uncommitted specified project funds are subject to allocation to other projects by the Board.

On June 2, 2009, the Board approved the Procedural Guide to govern the administration of RPOSD grants. The Procedural Guide will appropriately govern the administration of the recommended additional grants as well.

ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION

All projects funded by RPOSD are required to comply with CEQA as a condition of the grant. The lead agency is responsible for preparing the appropriate environmental documentation for the Project. The County of Los Angeles is the lead agency for all of the projects listed below.

The Whittier Narrows Recreation Area Irrigation System Renovation Project is categorically exempt from CEQA. The project, which consists of repair, replacement of deteriorating water and irrigation mainline, valves, pipes, pumps, meters, sensors, and other related parts/equipment throughout the park, is within certain classes of projects that have been determined not to have a significant effect

on the environment in that it meets the criteria set forth in Sections 15301(d) and 15302(c) of the State CEQA Guidelines, and Classes 1(c)(s) and 2(b) of the County's Environmental Document Reporting Procedures and Guidelines, Appendix G, because the project involves repair and restoration of existing structures as well as replacement of existing utility system.

The Obregon Park Permeable Paving Phase of the Model Green Project is categorically exempt from CEQA. The project, which consists of removal and replacement of asphalt with permeable paving to manage storm water, recharge groundwater, and mitigate heat and island effect is within certain classes of projects that have been determined not to have a significant effect on the environment in that it meets the criteria set forth in according to Section 15302(c) of the State CEQA Guidelines and Class 2(e) of the County's Environmental Document Reporting Procedures and Guidelines, Appendix G, because the project provides for the replacement or reconstruction of existing utility systems or facilities involving negligible or no expansion of capacity.

The George Washington Carver Park Pool Refurbishment Project is categorically exempt from CEQA. The project, which consists of purchasing and installation of sand filter as well as replastering of the pool, is within certain classes of projects that have been determined not to have a significant effect on the environment in that it meets the criteria set forth in Section 15301 of the State CEQA Guidelines, and Class 1 of the County's Environmental Document Reporting Procedures and Guidelines, Appendix G, because the project involves repair and restoration of existing structures involving negligible or no expansion of an existing use.

The South Coast Botanic Gardens Administration Building Rehabilitation Project is categorically exempt from CEQA. The project, which consists of replacement of the non-functioning HVAC system, removal and replacement of approximately 3,000 square feet of roofing system on the administration building, and termite treatment and repair to any damages to rafter tails, eave boards and fascia boards, is within certain classes of projects that have been determined not to have a significant effect on the environment in that it meets the criteria set forth in Section 15301(a)(d) of the State CEQA Guidelines, and Class 1(c)(d) of the County's Environmental Document Reporting Procedures and Guidelines, Appendix G, because the project involves repair and rehabilitation of existing structures involving negligible or no expansion of an existing use.

The Cerritos Community Regional Park Pool Refurbishment Project is categorically exempt from CEQA. The project, which consists of removal and replacement of existing large and baby pool heaters, is within certain classes of projects that have been determined not to have a significant effect on the environment in that it meets the criteria set forth in Section 15302(c) of the State CEQA Guidelines, and Class 2(e) of the County's Environmental Document Reporting Procedures and Guidelines, Appendix G, because the project involves replacement of existing utility systems involving negligible or no expansion of capacity.

The La Mirada Park Lake Improvements Project is categorically exempt from CEQA. The project, which consists of repair of lake liner, replacement of pumping system and aerators, and performing soil testing, is within certain classes of projects that have been determined not to have a significant effect on the environment in that it meets the criteria set forth in Sections 15302(c) and 15306 of the State CEQA Guidelines, and Classes 2(a)(e) and 6 of the County's Environmental Document Reporting Procedures and Guidelines, Appendix G, because the project involves replacement and reconstruction of existing structures or facilities involving negligible or no expansion of capacity and data collection which does not result in a serious or major disturbance to an environmental resource.

The William Hart Park Senior Center Rehabilitation Project is categorically exempt from CEQA. The project, which consists of replacement of the non-functioning HVAC system, and removal and

replacement of approximately 3,000 square feet of roofing system, is within certain classes of projects that have been determined not to have a significant effect on the environment in that it meets the criteria set forth in Section 15301(a)(d) of the State CEQA Guidelines, and Class 1(c)(d) of the County's Environmental Document Reporting Procedures and Guidelines, Appendix G, because the project involves repair and rehabilitation of an existing structures or facilities involving negligible or no expansion of an existing use.

The Castaic Lake Recreation Area Restrooms & Service Building Refurbishment Project is categorically exempt from CEQA. The project, which consists of refurbishment of roofs on restrooms and service buildings, is within certain classes of projects that have been determined not to have a significant effect on the environment in that it meets the criteria set forth in Section 15301(d) of the State CEQA Guidelines, and Class 1(c) of the County's Environmental Document Reporting Procedures and Guidelines, Appendix G, because the project involves restoration and rehabilitation of existing structures or facilities.

The Apollo Park Refurbishment Project is categorically exempt from CEQA. The project, which consists of replacement of asphalt for walkway, parking lot, and installation of missing security light fixtures throughout the park, is within certain classes of projects that have been determined not to have a significant effect on the environment in that it meets the criteria set forth in Sections 15302(c), 15303(e), and 15304(a) of the State CEQA Guidelines, and Classes 2(a), 3(b), and 4(a) of the County's Environmental Document Reporting Procedures and Guidelines, Appendix G, because the project involves replacement or reconstruction of existing structures or facilities involving negligible or no expansion of capacity, installation of accessory structures, and minor alterations to the condition of land.

The proposed projects stated above include no expansion of an existing use, meaning structures replaced will be located on the same site as existing structures and will have the same purpose and capacity, and the proposed projects will not involve the removal of healthy, mature or scenic trees. Additionally, the proposed projects are not in a sensitive environment, and there are no cumulative impacts, unusual circumstances, or other limiting factors that would make the exemption inapplicable based on the proposed projects records.

CONTRACTING PROCESS

Grant Project Agreements will be entered into and administered under authority delegated to the Director and pursuant to the Procedural Guide approved by the Board in 2009. Project Agreements will be approved as to form by County Counsel.

IMPACT ON CURRENT SERVICES (OR PROJECTS)

These recommended actions will have no impact on any other projects funded through RPOSD but are required so that the DPR may proceed with the project in the First, Second, Fourth, and Fifth Supervisorial Districts, if all applicable conditions are met.

CONCLUSION

Please return one adopted copy of this action to the Chief Executive Office, Facilities and Asset Management Division, and one copy to the Department of Parks and Recreation.

Respectfully submitted,

RUSS GUINEY

Director

RG:JB:WRO:tb

Enclosures

c: Interim Chief Executive Officer

County Counsel

Acting Executive Officer, Board of Supervisors

Attachment A

Funding Sections Assignments by Project

Funding Sections As:	signments	by	Proje	ct
----------------------	-----------	----	-------	----

Agency Funding Allocation	Fund Type	Funding Amount	Grantee / Project	
	and the second state of the second se	<u> </u>	it 1	
	92-Specified	1,137.79		
8. a. 2. O.	92-Specified	18,218.84		
8. a. 2. HH.	92-Specified	0.28		
8. b. 1.	92-Per Parcel	7.47		
3. a. 1. O.	96-Specified	25,073.87	Whittier Narrows Recreation Area Irrigati System Renovation Project	
3. a. 1. 00.	96-Specified	2,042.96		
3. a. 1. VV.	96-Specified	56,587.79		
3. a. 1.AAA.	96-Specified	185,831.00		
Annah da Annah da dari da	2222	288,900.00		
3. a. 1.AAA.	96-Specified	14,169.00		
3. a. 1.BBB.	96-Specified	265,424.45		
3. c. 1.	96-Per Parcel	9,429.70		
3. a. 1. O	96-Specified	6.07		
3. a. 1. QQ. ii	96-Specified	0.16		
8. a. 2. HH.	92-Specified	2.72		
8. a. 2. K.	92-Specified	0.66	Obregon Park Permeable Paving Phase of the	
8. a. 2. 00.	92-Specified	0.43	Model Green Project	
8. a. 1.	92-Specified	0.95		
8. a. 2. JJ.	92-Specified	0.06		
8. a. 2. O.	92-Specified	0.99		
8. b. 1.	92-Per Parcel	0.84		
		289,036.03		
	District Total:	577,936.03		
		Distric	et 2	
3. a. 1. UU	96-Specified	17,757.61		
3. a. 1. ZZ	96-Specified	105,805.36	George Washington Carver Park Po Refurbishment Project	
3. a. 1. <i>ZZ</i>	96-Specified	0.30		
8. a. 2. LL.	92-Specified	3.32	Returbishinent Project	
		123,566.59		
	District Total:	123,566.59		
		Distric	et 4	
8. a. 1.	92-Specified	60,327.56		
8. a. 2. FF.	92-Specified	380,272.44	South Coast Botanic Gardens Administration	
_ : === ===		440,600.00	Building Rehabilitation Project	
8. a. 2. FF.	92-Specified	52,588.51		
3. a. 1. B.	96-Specified	50,000.00		
3. a. 1. LL.	96-Specified	6,322.00	Carritae Community Pagional Barly Book	
	96-Specified	53,357.60	Cerritos Community Regional Park Pool Refurbishment Project	
3. a. 1. PP.			Keiurbisilment Project	
3. a. 1. PP. 3. a. 1. RR.	96-Specified	27,731.89		

Funding Sections Assignments by Project					
Agency Funding Allocation	Fund Type	Funding Amount	Grantee / Project		
3. a. 1. RR.	96-Specified	7,647.62			
3. c. 1.	96-Per Parcel	137,352.38			
3. c. 1.	96-Per Parcel	40,764.82			
3. a. 1. F	96-Specified	0.81			
3. a. 1. G	96-Specified	0.77			
3. a. 1. RR.	96-Specified	0.05			
3. a. 1. V.	96-Specified	0.41	La Mirada Bark Lako Improvemento Project		
		0.46	La Mirada Park Lake Improvements Project		
8. a. 2. A.	92-Specified				
8. a. 2. F.	92-Specified	0.43			
8. a. 2. FF.	92-Specified	0.47			
8. a. 2. II.	92-Specified	0.85			
8. a. 2. T.	92-Specified	0.04			
		185,769.11			
	District Total:	816,369.11			
		Distr	ict 5		
8. a. 1.	92-Specified	0.44			
8. a. 2. Z.	92-Specified	17,238.57			
8. b. 1.	92-Per Parcel	59,969.50			
3. a. 1. B.	96-Specified	3,129.00			
3. a. 1. C.	96-Specified	12,530.00	William Hart Senior Center Rehabilitation Pro		
3. a. 1. l.	96-Specified	3,095.00			
3. a. 1. F.	96-Specified	4,037.49			
		100,000.00			
3. a. 1. F.	96-Specified	39,153.99			
3. a. 1. N.	96-Specified	18,521.21			
3. a. 1. GG.	96-Specified	27,797.66	Castaic Lake Restrooms & Service Building		
3. b. 7.	96-Specified	9,567.12	Refurbishment Project		
3. c. 1.	96-Per Parcel	26,560.02	·		
		121,600.00			
3. c. 1.	96-Per Parcel	115,725.01			
3. a. 1. l.	96-Specified	0.47			
3. a. 1. S.	96-Specified	0.48			
3. a. 1. GG.	96-Specified	0.54			
3. b. 7,	96-Specified	0.99	Apollo Park Refurbishment Project		
8. a. 2. D.	92-Specified	0.06	•		
8. a. 2. MM.	92-Specified	0.46			
8. a. 2. X.	92-Specified	0.10			
		115,728.11			
	District Total:	337,328.11			

Grand Total:

1,855,199.84